

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/050,683	01/16/2002	Otto Rolf Muller	P6542.9US	5105
75	90 04/04/2003			
Gurdrun E. Huckett, Ph.D.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 3187 Albuquerque, NM 87190-3187			TSIDULKO, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 2 2 2				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Asticus Communication	10/050,683	MULLER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
-		Mark Tsidulko	2875			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mid., cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  oirty (30) days will be considered timely  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.					
2a)	,	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under					
	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 8,13 and 14 is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are:		icated to by the Evaminer			
10)[]	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		•			
11) 🗆 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	•				
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examiner.			
12) 🖂 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
		n priority under 35 H S C	& 119(3)-(d) or (f)			
13)⊡ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
۵٫۱		s have been received				
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •				
Attachment	-					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	V Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,683

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what Applicant intends by "light guide has a contour of a tubular fluorescent lamp". Contour is an outer line of the shape and the fluorescent lamp may have many different shapes well known in the art, such as elongate, circular, bent, etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finch et al. (US 5,184,883) in view of Berg (US 6,286,984).

Referring to Claims **1**, **5** Finch et al. disclose (Fig.2) a vehicle lamp having a lens [39], a reflector [14], a rod-shaped light guide [18] arranged between the reflector [14] and the lens [39] and a light source [20] which is hidden from view.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,683

Art Unit: 2875

It is understood that any desired type of the light source can be used in the device including LED which is well known in the art.

Finch et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the housing.

Berg discloses a vehicle taillight having the housing (Abstract). It is well known in the art that the vehicle's lighting device is secured in the housing that saves the device from damage and dirt.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the device of Finch et al. with the housing as taught by Berg in order to attach the device to the vehicle's body and prevent the damage of the device.

Referring to Claims 2, 3 Finch et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for LED is arranged in the receiving space and mounted on a printed circuit board.

Berg discloses the LED [12] positioned in a receiving portion [18] and mounted on the circuit board [17]. LED positioned in a receiving portion is invisible from view out of housing.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the device of Finch et al. with the LED positioned in a receiving portion as shown by Berg in order to be invisible from view out of housing and mounted on the PCB for power supply.

Referring to Claim 7, as best understood, Finch et al. disclose the light guide [18] having contour approximating a contour of a tubular fluorescent lamp.

Referring to Claim 12 Finch et al. disclose the device wherein the light guide has two ends and wherein light is introduced into one of the two ends.

Application/Control Number: 10/050.683

Art Unit: 2875

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finch et al. and Berg as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Noll (US 6,450,656).

Finch et al. and Berg disclose the instant claimed invention except for LED is a SMD component.

Noll discloses a display unit having a LED which is a SMD component that can be surface mounted, with the result that the mounting process can be further simplified (col.3, lines 41-44).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the device of Finch et al. and Berg with SMD as taught by Noll in order to simplify the mounting process.

Claims **6**, **9-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finch et al. and Berg as applied to claim **1** above, and further in view of Beck et al. (US 6,107,916).

Referring to Claim 6 Finch et al. and Berg disclose the instant claimed invention except for the light has a length and light guide extends substantially across the entire length of the light.

Beck et al. disclose (Figs. 1,2) a signal lamp having a light guide [7] that extends about periphery of the lamp. A signal lamp may be made in any shape desired, regardless of a shape of the headlamp (col.3, lines 30-34). In a lamp having elongated configuration, such as rectangular or elliptical, the light guide [7] will extend across the length of the lamp. Lengthwise design of the light guide element increases position of the light (col.3, lines 13-16).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the device of Finch et al. and Berg with the light guide extending across the length of the device as shown by Beck et al. in order to increase the position of the light.

Art Unit: 2875

Referring to Claims **9-11** it is understood that the light guide may have any desired cross section form, including circular cross section, or having straight back side, or convexly curved front side.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims **8**, **13**, **14** is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Referring to Claim 8 the prior art of record fails to show a device wherein the light guide has spacing relative to the reflector smaller than the spacing relative to the lens.

Referring to Claims 13, 14 the prior art of record fails to show the light guide having an optical element on the front or back side.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (703)308-1326. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,683

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

M.T. March 28, 2003

Jan Killy

1. 107